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Figure S1. Rhes induces TNT-like cellular protrusions, Rhes tunnels. (A) Quantification of untransfected cells (\%) containing GFP puncta from GFP (4.01 $\pm$ 1.80 ) or GFP-RhoA ( $8.84 \pm 3.49$ ) or GFP-Rhes $(22.3 \pm 6.43)$ transfected cells. Data are normalized to number of transfected cells and total number of cells (transfected and untransfected) per field. Bar graph shows mean $\pm$ SEM, one-way ANOVA (*, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001). GFP ( $n=205$ ), GFP-RhoA ( $n=211$ ), and GFP-Rhes ( $n=207$ ), total 12 fields/group. (B) Confocal and bright field (DIC) image of striatal neuronal cells expressing GFP alone (upper panel) or GFP-Rhes (lower panel). Arrowheads indicate Rhes-induced filopodia, with vesicles at their tip, similar to cytoneme in Drosophila, or TNTs in PC12, that are absent in GFP alone. (C and D) Confocal and bright field (DIC) image of striatal neuronal cells coexpressing GFP-Rhes (C) or GFP alone (D) with membrane marker mCherryFarnesyl 5. Insets are shown at higher magnification with each panel. Arrows indicate Rhes-induced cellular protrusions that were absent in GFP alone-expressing cells.


Figure S2. Scanning EM and TEM images for Rhes-induced TNT-like cell protrusions and Rhes transportation to a neighboring cell. (A) Representation of FACS plot of striatal neuronal cells sorted for unstained, GFP alone, or GFP-Rhes, and that were subsequently cultured for EM analysis. (B) Scanning EM images from FACS-sorted GFP alone or GFP-Rhes. Inset shows the boxed area at higher magnification. Arrows indicate numerous cellular protrusions in GFPRhes. (C) Bar graph represents quantification of average number of cellular protrusions per cell for GFP ( $2.72 \pm 1.47$ ) and GFP-Rhes (18.86 $\pm 5.16$ ). (D) Bar graph shows the quantification of average diameter of cellular protrusions per cell or GFP ( $358.33 \pm 203.51$ ) and GFP-Rhes ( $166.67 \pm 25.81$ ) using scanning EM images. Data mean $\pm$ SEM; unpaired $t$ test $\left({ }^{*}, \mathrm{P}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *}, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right.$; GFP: $n=15$ cells; GFP-Rhes: $n=13$ cells). (E and F) Rhes-induced TNT-like cell protrusions are negative for tubulin and vinculin. Representative TEM images from FACS-sorted GFP-Rhes (E) or GFP alone (F)-expressing cells. Magnified images are shown in insets from selected areas. Arrowheads indicate cellular protrusions that in GFP-Rhes are $<150 \mathrm{~nm}$ and in GFP alone are >200 nm in diameter. (G) Confocal and bright field (DIC) image of striatal neuronal cells coexpressing GFP-Rhes and mCherry-Tubulin. Arrows indicate a Rhes-induced TNT-like process. (H) Confocal and bright field (DIC) image of striatal neuronal cells coexpressing GFP-Rhes and mCherry-Vinculin. Arrows show Rhes-induced TNT-like protrusions. Inset shows the boxed area at higher magnification.


Figure S3. Rhes-induced TNT-like cell protrusions are positive for Exo70. (A) Confocal and bright field (DIC) image of striatal neuronal cells coexpressing mCherry alone or mCherry-Rhes with GFP-Exo70. Arrowheads indicate Rhes-induced TNT-like protrusions. (B) Representative confocal and DIC image of striatal neuronal cells coexpressing GFP alone and mCherry-Actin. Inset shows the boxed area at higher magnification. (C and D) FACS-sorted GFP (C) or GFPRhoA (D) expressing striatal neuronal cells (green) were co-cultured with FACS-sorted mCherry cells (red). Magnified images are shown in inset from selected area. GFP-Rhes expressing primary striatal neurons show numerous puncta in the neighboring cells. (E and F) Representative confocal and bright field DIC image of primary striatal neuronal cells, transfected with GFP-Rhes (E) or GFP (F). Insets are shown at higher magnification within each panel. Arrowheads indicate GFP-Rhes puncta in the neighboring untransfected primary striatal neuron, but no such puncta were observed in GFP control cells.
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| Protein | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| GFP-Rhes full length | WT |
| GFP-Rhes S33N | GDP bound |
| GFP-Rhes C263S | Farnesylation (membrane binding) defective |
| GFP-Rhes 1-171 | GTPase domain |
| GFP-Rhes 171-266 | SUMO E3-like domain |
| GFP-Rhes 171-266 C263S | SUMO E3-like domain plus farnesylation defective |
| GFP-Rhes 1-171-CAAX | GTPase domain with farnesylation domain |
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Figure S4. The role of Rhes domain/mutants in production of Rhes-induced TNT-like cell protrusions. (A) Rhes domains and Rhes mutants. (B) Western blot showing the expression of full-length GFP-Rhes WT or mutants or GFP-Rhes domains in striatal neuronal cells after 48 h of transfection. Membrane was blotted for anti-GFP antibody. Actin was used for loading control. GFP/Actin ratio was calculated by ImageJ software. (C) Representative confocal image of striatal neuronal cells expressing either GFP-tagged Rhes WT or its mutants. Arrows indicate TNT-like processes. (D) Quantification of the cells (\%) with TNTlike protrusions for C. Bar graph shows mean $\pm$ SEM; one-way ANOVA (***, P < 0.001 compared with Rhes-FL; \#\#\#, P < 0.001 compared with Rhes 171-266). Data were quantified from four independent experiments, $n=>200$ cells/group. Cells exhibiting TNT-like protrusions more than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $<200 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter are included in quantification. Rhes WT ( $37.09 \pm 3.43$ ), Rhes S 33 N ( $13.03 \pm 1.79$ ), Rhes C263S ( $13.12 \pm 2.36$ ), Rhes 1-171 (12.68 $\pm 1.28$ ), Rhes 171-266 ( $25.34 \pm 1.40$ ), Rhes $171-266$ C263S ( $12.00 \pm 2.01$ ), and Rhes $1-171-C A A X(13.85 \pm 1.99)$. ( $E$ and $F$ ) Assessment of various organelle markers in Rhes tunnels. Representative confocal and DIC image of FACS-sorted GFP-Rhes (E) or its mutants or domains (F) expressing striatal neuronal cells (donor cells) co-cultured with FACS-sorted mCherry cells (acceptor cells). Arrowheads indicate GFP-Rhes-positive vesicles in mCherry-expressing cells at different planes. Arrows indicate TNT-like protrusions induced by either GFP-Rhes or GFP-Rhes 171-266. (G) Representative confocal images of striatal neuronal cells expressing GFPRhes (green) and mCherry tagged (red) with the indicated cell organelle marker. Arrows indicate Rhes-induced TNT-like processes. For mitochondria staining, mitotracker was added after 48 h of GFP-Rhes transfection at 200 nM concentration, incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min, and imaged using confocal microscopy. Inset shows the boxed area at higher magnification.


Figure S5. mHTT N171-89Q transportation via Rhes-induced TNT-like Rhes tunnels. (A and B) Representative confocal image of (A) WT (STHdhQ7/Q7) or (B) mHTT (STHdh ${ }^{\text {Q111/Q11 }}$ ) expressing striatal neuronal cells, transfected with GFP-Rhes. GFP-Rhes induces TNT-like processes in both the cells. Arrows indicate Rhes-induced TNT-like protrusions. (C and D) Representative confocal image of STHdh ${ }^{Q 111 / Q 111}$ neuronal cells (expressing endogenous FL-mHTT [endo]), transfected with GFP alone (C) and immunocytochemistry performed with MAB2166 HTT antibody. (D) Representative confocal image of STHdhQ7/Q7 striatal neuronal cells expressing GFP alone and mCherry-HTT N171-89Q (mHTT). (E and F) Representative confocal image of FACS-sorted BFP expressing striatal neuronal cells (acceptor cell) co-cultured with (E) GFP alone + mCherry N171-89Q or (F) GFP-Rhes + mCherry N171-89Q (double-positive cells, donor cell). Arrowheads indicate mHTT colocalized with Rhes-positive vesicle-like structures in acceptor cells. Magnified images are shown in the inset from the selected area for each panel. (G) Representative confocal or DIC image of primary striatal neurons, cotransfected with GFP alone (green) and mCherry N17189Q (mHTT; red). No apparent mHTT transport was observed. Insets are shown at higher magnification in each panel.


Figure S6. Rhes tunnels are negative for mTOR and wtTau proteins. (A and B) Representative confocal image of striatal neuronal cells, cotransfected with (A) GFP alone and mCherry-Atxn3 84Q, and (B) GFP alone and mCherry-Poly 72Q for 48 h . (C and D) Representative Western blot (C) showing the expression of mCherry-HTT 17189 Q or mCherry-Atxn3 84Q or mCherry-Poly 72 Q in striatal neuronal cells after 48 h of transfection. Membrane was probed for mCherry antibody. Actin was used as loading control. (D) Quantification of the indicated proteins (normalized to actin). Bar graph shows mean $\pm$ SEM; one-way ANOVA (n.s, not significant), $n=3$ independent experiments. (E) Representative image of striatal neuronal cells, expressing GFP-Rhes (green) and costained for mTOR by immunocytochemistry (red), as described in Materials and methods. Arrows indicate TNT-like protrusions. (F) Representative confocal image of striatal neuronal cells, cotransfected with GFP-Rhes and mCherry-Tau. Arrows indicate TNT-like protrusions, and arrowheads indicate GFP-Rhes-positive vesicles.


Figure S7. The experimental design for various experiments and SUMO 1/2/3 protein depletion. (A) Experimental design for FACS and co-culture experiments for Fig. 10, C-F. (B) Striatal neuronal cells, transfected with control CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid or SUMO1/2/3 CRISPR/Cas9 and sorted, then lysed to check SUMO1 depletion using anti-SUMO1 antibody. Western blot showing SUMO2/3 depletion in SUMO 1, 2, and 3-depleted cells. Actin was used as loading control. (D and E) Quantification of SUMO1 or SUMO2/3 protein depletion (normalized to actin) in SUMO1/2/3 KO cells versus control cells. Bar graph shows mean $\pm$ SEM; Student's $t$ test ( ${ }^{* *}, \mathrm{P}<0.01 ;^{* * *}, \mathrm{P}<0.001 ; n=3$ independent experiments). (F) Experimental design for FACS and co-culture experiments (Fig. 10, G-J).


Video 1. Striatal neuronal cells, positive for GFP-Rhes or mCherry-Farnesyl 5, were FACS-sorted and co-cultured (related to Fig. 2 B, inset, b1-b3). The image demonstrates that the GFP-Rhes-induced TNT-like protrusion from the donor cell is connected to mCherry-Farnesyl 5 expressing the acceptor cell. Time-lapse imaging indicates the retraction of one of the TNT-like cellular protrusions, Rhes tunnels, after delivery of Rhes-positive vesicles to an acceptor cell. A total of four Z-stacks (planes) were acquired and presented as maximum intensity projection. Total time of the video file is 14 min .29 cycles were collected at a rate of 29 s per cycle.

Video 2. Striatal neuronal cells, coexpressing GFP-Rhes, and mCherry-actin were treated with DMSO for $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{h}$ (related to Fig. 2 G). Timelapse imaging was performed, and a total of seven Z-stacks were collected and represented as maximum intensity projection for cells treated with DMSO. The length of the video is $\sim 27 \mathrm{~min}(29$ cycles) and 15 min ( 14 cycles). The interval between each period is $57-60 \mathrm{~s}$.

Video 3. Striatal neuronal cells were exposed to or cytochalasin $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{2} \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ for $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{h}$ (related to Fig. $\mathbf{2}$ G). Time-lapse imaging was performed as in Video 2.

Video 4. Deconvolved 3D animation of Fig. 3 D shows white arrows depicting GFP-Rhes vesicle-like puncta on the surface and yellow arrows depicting GFP-Rhes vesicle-like puncta inside the lumen of the cell.

Video 5. Time-lapse imaging was acquired in the primary striatal neuron, expressing GFP-Rhes (related to Fig 3 I). GFP-Rhes-positive vesicle delivery to an adjacent cell (arrow in Video 6) and retraction of a TNT-like protrusion are shown in real time. A total of five Z-stacks were acquired and represented as maximum intensity projection. The length of the video is 13 min , and 22 cycles were collected with a rate of 36 s per cycle.

Video 6. Animation of Video 5 (Fig. 3 I, inset) showing delivered vesicles (white arrow) and vesicles (yellow arrows) in the TNT-like Rhes tunnels.

Video 7. Time-lapse imaging was acquired in striatal neuronal cells, coexpressing GFP-Rhes and m-Cherry HTT 17189 (related to Fig. 6 E). A total of four Z-stacks was collected and represented as maximum intensity projection. The length of the video is $\sim 7 \mathrm{~min}$, and five cycles were acquired at a rate of 84 s per cycle.

Video 8. Time-lapse imaging was acquired in striatal neuronal cells, co-cultured with FACS-sorted GFP-Rhes and m-Cherry HTT 171 89Q and FACS-sorted cell-brite cells (related to Fig. 6 F). A total of four Z-stacks were collected and represented as maximum intensity projection. The video is 3 min ; slow motion was applied after the 13 th point (for the same video), using video software Movavi.

