Sau et al., http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201312002/DC1


D


STB


Type I


Figure S1. STB and ARS plasmid distribution in spores: classification of tetrads containing plasmid foci in all four spores. (A and B) The assays were performed in a [cir+] strain. Individual tetrads were classified in terms of the number of plasmid-bearing spores that they harbored: $4: 0,3: 1,2: 2$, and $1: 3$, with the first number in these ratios indicating plasmid-containing spores. The mean plasmid foci counts per tetrad for the STB plasmid were $8.8,4.5,3.4$, and 1.4 for the $4: 0,3: 1,2: 2$, and $1: 3$ classes, respectively. The corresponding values for the ARS plasmid were $9.8,6.6,2.8$, and 2.0 . (C) In this histogram representation, tetrads containing a total of fewer than four plasmid foci were excluded from the analysis. The meiosis II assays represented by this figure were performed separately from the meiosis I assays depicted in Fig. 1. The fact that only $\sim 1 \%$ of the binucleate cells were devoid of the STB plasmid (Fig. 1 C ), whereas $\sim 15 \%$ of the asci lacked plasmid foci in two or more spores ( $2: 2$ and $1: 3$ classes in B) suggests that plasmid loss in spores is primarily caused by missegregation events during the second meiotic division. There is a caveat that these classes of asci contain fewer plasmid foci than the 4:0 and 3:1 classes. Note the reduction in the 2:2 and 1:3 classes when only those tetrads with at least four foci were included in the analysis (C). (D) The tetrads shown represent the three types (I-III) into which the 4:0 class was subdivided, based on the number of plasmid foci in individual spores. Type I included two classes: asci in which all four spores or two pairs of spores, but not all four spores, contained equal number of foci. Type Il asci contained only one pair of equal-foci spores, whereas type III asci were unequal in all four spores. (E) The relative proportions of the three types of tetrads observed for the STB and ARS reporter plasmids were plotted. The error bars indicate $\pm$ SEM (standard error of the mean). *, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ (two-tailed $t$ test). Bars, $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S2. Plasmid dynamics in csm4 4 and ndj1 $1 \Delta$ strains at 4 h after transfer to sporulation medium. The through-focus imaging results for the histogram representations shown here were obtained using the same procedures as in the experiments represented in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. All the plots are based on data acquired at 1 frame/s. The results from the csm $4 \Delta$ and ndjl $1 \Delta$ strains are arranged in A-F and G-L, respectively.


Figure S3. TEL and STB plasmid dynamics in the wild-type and ndj1D strains. An example of the time-lapse images of the fluorescence-tagged chromosome VIIL TELs, followed as an internal reference during the analysis of the STB reporter plasmid in the wild-type strain (see Fig. 5), is shown at the top. Images containing a single spot and two separate spots represent paired and unpaired TELs, respectively. The interval between the capture of each data frame was 2 s . Bars, $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In the panels below, plasmid and TEL dynamics, assayed in the ndj $1 \Delta$ strain, are arranged as in Fig. 5. Comparisons of the STB reporter plasmid between wild-type ( 4 h ) and the ndj1 14 h and 7 h ) strains ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ ) used data at 1 frame $/ 2 \mathrm{~s}$. As ndj $1 \Delta$ slowed down meiosis, 4 h and 7 h in the wild-type and mutant strains, respectively, corresponded to the prophase stage. The dynamics of the STB plasmid were plotted against those of the TEL containing plasmid (D-F, CEN-ARS-TEL) or chromosome IVR TELs (D-F, unpaired = UTEL; G-l, paired = pTEL). All of the comparisons within the mutant strain (D-I) were based on 1 frame/s data collected at 7 h for plasmids and for chromosomal TELs. As explained in the legend for Fig. 6 and in the Materials and methods, the same dynamics data plotted with a time resolution of 1 frame/ 2 s (as in A-C) or 1 frame/s (as in D-I) will generate histograms of slightly different shapes. This difference is exemplified by the plots of the maximum speeds of the STB plasmid in the ndj1 $1 \Delta$ mutant at 7 h in A versus D .


Figure S4. Dynamics of a CEN-ARS plasmid in the wild-type, ndj1A, and csm4D strains. The dynamics of a CEN-ARS plasmid in the wild-type strain (A-C) assayed at 4 h from the time of transfer to sporulation medium were placed in the context of its dynamics in the csm40 (D-F) and ndj10 strains (G-I) assayed at 4 h and 7 h after transfer to sporulation medium. These plots were based on data acquired at $1 \mathrm{frame} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure S5. Plasmid distribution in tetrads and meiotic chromosome segregation in the ndj1t and csm4 $\mathbf{n}$ strains. (A) The STB reporter plasmid segregation was assayed in the indicated [cir+] mutant strains at the end of meiosis II. The tetrads were classified by the plasmid distribution in the four spores as in Fig. S1. In the ratios below the xaxis, the numbers at the left and the right denote plasmid-containing and plasmid-free spores, respectively. At least 100 tetrads were analyzed. The data for the wild type are taken from Fig. S1. (B and C) Chromosome VII, fluorescence-tagged at a CEN-proximal position in both homologues by $\left[\right.$ Tet $\mathrm{O}_{224}$-(TetR-td-Tomato)] interaction, served as the reporter. Segregation was assayed at the end of meiosis I (B) or at the end of meiosis II $(C)$. The absence of a TetR fluorescent focus in one of the two nuclei in binucleate cells $(B)$ indicated aberrant segregation of the homologues. In a small fraction of the normal segregation type, two fluorescent foci were observed in one nucleus, which suggests precocious sister chromatid separation. The presence of one or more spores (C) lacking a fluorescent focus in an ascus would be consistent with improper segregation during meiosis I or meiosis II or both. The error bars indicate $\pm$ SEM. Bars, $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Table S1. Strains

| Strain | Genotype | Relevant figures | Source/reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCY506 parent strain | MATa ade2 ADE5 CAN1 ${ }^{S}$ cyh2R his7-1 leu2::hisG lys2-2 met13-c trp 1-63 tyrl2 ura3-1 [cir+] | - | Conrad et al., 2007 |
| MCY507 parent strain |  | - | Conrad et al., 2007 |
| MY8245 | MY8241 (see below) tyr 1::PURA3-TetR-dd-Tomato::TYR1 CEN VII::TetO224-TRP1 [cir+] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Figs. } 1,8,10, S 1 \text {, } \\ & \text { and } \mathrm{S} 5 \end{aligned}$ | This study |
| MY8248 | MY8242 (see below) tyrl 1:PuRA3-TetR-dd-Tomato::TYR1 CEN VII::TetO224-TRP1 [cir+] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Figs. } 1,8,10, \mathrm{~S} 1 \text {, } \\ & \text { and } \mathrm{S} 5 \end{aligned}$ | This study |
| MY8288 | MCY506 ura3::P P/I3-GFP-Lacl: :URA3 lys2:: P PMC1-GFP-Lacl::LYS2 [cirO] | Fig. 2 | This study |
| MY8289 |  | Fig. 2 | This study |
| MY8319 | MY8241 (see below) MPS3-3HA::TRP1 CTF 19-13Myc::KANMX6 [cir+] | Figs. 2 and 3 | This study |
| MY8320 | MY8242 (see below) MPS3-3HA::TRP1 CTF19-13Myc::KANMX6 [cir+] | Figs. 2 and 3 | This study |
| EAY 1542 | MAT $\alpha$ ho::hisG his4X-LEU2-URA3 leu2::hisG RAP1-mRFP-HPHMX ura3(ISmalPstll [cir+] | - | Wanat et al., 2008 |
| MY8359 | MATa ade2 trp 1-63 leu2::hisG his7-1/2 ura3::PHIS3-GFP-Lacl::URA3 lys2:: <br> PDMCI-GFP-Lacl::LYS2 RAP1-mRFP-HPHMX [cir+] | Fig. 3 | This study EAY1542 X MY 8241 |
| MY8362 | MAT $\alpha$ ade2 $\operatorname{trp} 1-63$ leu2::hisG his7-1/2 ura3::PHIS3-GFP-Lacl::URA3 lys2:: <br> PDMCI-GFP-Lacl::LYS2 RAP1-mRFP-HPHMX [cir+] | Fig. 3 | This study <br> EAY 1542 X M Y 8241 |
| MY8279 | MCY506 ZIP 1::GFP700 [cir+] | Fig. 4 | This study |
| MY8280 | MCY507 ZIP 1::GFP700 [cir+] | Fig. 4 | This study |
| MY8241 |  | Fig. 4 | This study |
| MY8242 | MCY507 ura3::P P/I3-GFP-Lacl: :URA3 lys2:: PDMC1-GFP-Lacl: $_{\text {LYS2 }}$ [cir+] | Fig. 4 | This study |
| MDY1567 | MCY506 TUB 1-GFP-URA3 LYS2::PDMCI-Lacl/GFP URA3::СCYC1::PCYC1-lacl-GFP TELIVR::LacO256-LEU2 [cir+] | Fig. 5 | Conrad et al., 2008 |
| MDY2426 | MCY507 URA3::PDMCI-Lacl/GFP CYC1 ::PCYCI-lacl-GFP TELIVR::LacO256-LEU2 | Fig. 5 | Conrad et al., 2008 |
| MDY2414 | MCY506 ura3::P PHIs3-GFP-Lacl::URA3 CYC1:: $\mathrm{PCYC1}$-GFP-Lad [cir+] | Figs. 5 and S4 | This study |
| MDY2798 | MCY507 lys2:: P PMCı-GFP-Lacl:: : 4 S2 [cir+] | Figs. 5 and S4 | This study |
| MY8247 | MY8241 tyr 1 : PURA3-TetR-td-Tomato::TYR1 TEL VIIL::TetO224-TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. 5, 6, and S3 | This study |
| MY8250 | MY8242 tyrl $1: P_{\text {PRA3 }}$-TetR-td-Tomato::TYR1 TEL VIIL::TełO224-TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. 5, 6, and S3 | This study |
| MDY2778 | MCY506 TUB 1-GFP-URA3 LYS2::PDMCI-LaCI/GFP URA3:::CYC1 $1:$ PCYCI-lacl-GFP TELIVR::LacO256-LEU2 csm4A::LEU2 [cir+] | Figs. 6 and S2 | Conrad et al., 2008 |
| MDY2609 | MCY507 URA3::PDMCI-Lacl/GFP CYC1 ::PCYC1-lacl-GFP TELIVR::LacO256-LEU2 csm4a::LEU2 [cir+] | Figs. 6 and S2 | Conrad et al., 2008 |
| MCY2515 | MCY506 TUB 1-GFP-URA3 lys2:: PDMcı-GFP-Lacl::LYS2 csm44::TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. 6 and S2 | This study |
| MCY2514 |  | Figs. 6 and S2 | This study |
| MCY1850 | MCY506 ura3::P ${ }_{\text {HIS3 }}$-GFP-Lacl: $:$ URA3 CYC1 $1:$ P PYCl-GFP-Lacl csm44 ::TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. 6, S2 and S4 | This study |
| MCY1851 | MCY507 lys2:: PDMCI-GFP-Lacl::LYS2 csm44 ::TRP1[cir+] | Figs. 6, S2, and S4 | This study |
| WY8391 | MY8248 + YCp-URA3-Nup49-mCherry-HIS7 (pSS50) [cir+] | Fig. 8 | This study |
| MYY8392 | MY8344 (see below) + YCp-URA3-Nup49-mCherry-HIS7 (pSS50) [cir+] | Fig. 8 | This study |
| MY8393 | MY8347 (see below) + YCp-URA3-Nup49-mCherry-HIS7 (pSS50) [cir+] | Fig. 8 | This study |
| MY8375 | MY8359 ndi14::KANMX6 [cir+] | Fig. 9 | This study |
| MY8377 | MY8362 ndj 14: $:$ KANMX6 [cir+] | Fig. 9 | This study |
| MY8343 | MY8245 ndila::KANMX6 [cir+] | Figs. 8, 10, and S5 | This study |
| MY8344 | MY8248 ndj14::KANMX6 [cir+] | Figs. 8, 10, and S5 | This study |
| MY8346 | MY8245 csm44::KANMX6 [cir+] | Figs. 8, 10, and S5 | This study |
| MY8347 | MYY248 csm44::KANMX6 [cir+] | 8,10 and S5 | This study |
| MDY1560 | MCY506 TUB 1-GFP-URA3 LYS2::PDMCl-Lacl/GFP URA3:::CYC1 $1:$ PCYCI-lacl-GFP TELIVR::LacO256-LEU2 ndj14::TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. S2 and S3 | Conrad et al., 2008 |
| MDY2294 | MCY507 URA3::PDMCI-Lacl/GFP CYC1 ::PCYCI-lacl-GFP TELIVR::LacO256-LEU2 ndj14::TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. S2 and S3 | Conrad et al., 2008 |
| MCY3161 | MCY506 lys2:: $P_{\text {DMCI-GFP-Lacl: }}$ LYS2 ndi14::TRP1 [cir+] | Figs. S2, S3, and S4 | This study |
| MDY2344 | MCY507 ura3::P HIS3 $^{\text {-GFP-Lacl: }}$ :URA3 CYC1:: $P_{\text {cYcl }}$-GFP-Lacl ndj1 $1::$ TRP1 $[\mathrm{cir}+$ ] | Figs. S2, S3, and S4 | This study |

[^0]Table S2. Plasmids

| Plasmids | Salient features | Relevant figures | Source/reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEp181LacO | $\mathrm{LacO}_{256}$ cloned in YEp181 (LEU2) | Figs. 1-6, 8-10, S1-S3, and S5 | Mehta et al., 2002 |
| pSLB5 | $\mathrm{LacO}_{256}$ cloned in ARS1-LEU2 vector | Figs. 1 and S1 | Lacefield et al., 2009 |
| pSV31 | $P_{\text {HIS3-GFP-Lad }}$ cloned in Ylp-URA3 (pRS406) | Figs. 1-6, 8-10, and S1-S5 | M.J. laboratory |
| pSS18 | TYR 1 cloned in P URA3-TetR-td-Tomato-LEU2 $^{\text {a }}$ plasmid | Figs. 1, 5, 6, 8, 10, S1, S3, and S5 | This study |
| pSS22 | $P_{\text {DMCI }}$-GFP-Lacl cloned in Ylp-LYS2 | Figs. 1-6, 8-10, and S1-S5 | This study |
| pSS35 |  | Figs. 1, 8, 10, S1, and S5 | This study |
| pSS38 | $\mathrm{TetO}_{224}$ target at 4,161 bp (VIIL TEL) | Figs. 5, 6, and S3 | This study |
| LF321 (pHW122) | GFP inserted at 700 amino acid of ZIP1 ORF resulted ZIP 1 ::GFP700 | Fig. 4 | Scherthan et al., 2007 |
| MCB831 | $\mathrm{LacO}_{256}$ cloned in CEN-ARS vector (YCpl 11 ) | Figs. 5 and S4 | This study |
| MCB832 | Yeast TEL repeat cloned in MCB831 | Figs. 5, 6, S2, and S3 | This study |
| pAL2979 | Nup49-mCherry cloned in YCp-URA3 | - | Gift from Arlen Johnson |
| pSS50 | HIS7 cloned in pAJ2979 | Fig. 8 | This study |

The plasmids utilized for the present study are listed, and their relevant features are indicated. The list roughly follows the sequence in which the results are presented. The figure numbers refer to the figure representing the experiments in which a particular plasmid was utilized. The relevant references are cited.


Video 1. Chromosome dynamics in wild-type and csm4 cells. In this time-lapse video analysis, chromosome IVR telomere was labeled [ $\mathrm{LacO}_{256}$-(Lacl-GFP)] in both homologues. Through-focus images were acquired at 1 frame/s in wild-type cells at 4 h after transfer to sporulation medium (left). Similar analysis was performed in csm4 $4 \Delta$ cells at 8 h after transfer to sporulation medium (right). The data from this video are displayed in Fig. 5 (A-F) and Fig. 6 (D-I). The large bright spot (seen also in the $\operatorname{csm} 4 \Delta$ panel of Video 2 and in Video 3) is the spindle pole body labeled with Tubl-GFP. WT, wild type; TEL, chromosome IVR telomere. Images were taken at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with 250 -ms exposures with a pixel spacing of $0.0645 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ using an upright microscope (Axioplan 2ie) fitted with a 100x, 1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat objective lens (Carl Zeiss), a high-speed switching DG-5 xenon illuminator (Sutter Instrument), a CoolSNAP HQ digital camera (Photometrics), and a BNC555 pulse generator (Berkeley Nucleonics) to synchronize camera exposure with focusing movements and illumination. Image acquisition, deconvolution, viewing, and quantification were carried out using custom-written software.

Video 2. Plasmid dynamics in wild-type and csm4 $\mathbf{\Delta}$ cells. Time-lapse video analysis of the fluorescence tagged STB reporter plasmid [LacO $\mathrm{O}_{25}$-(Lacl-GFP)] was performed at 4 h (in the wild-type strain; left) and 7 h (in the csm $4 \Delta$ strain; right) after cells were transferred to sporulation medium. Images were acquired at 1 frame $/ 2 \mathrm{~s}$ in wild type and at 1 frame $/ \mathrm{s}$ in csm $4 \Delta$ cells. The data derived from these videos are shown in Fig. 5 (A-I), Fig. 6 (A-I), and Fig. S3 (A-C). WT, wild type. Images were taken at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with $250-\mathrm{ms}$ exposures with a pixel spacing of $0.0645 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ using an upright microscope (Axioplan 2ie) fitted with a 100x, 1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat objective lens (Carl Zeiss), a high-speed switching DG-5 xenon illuminator (Sutter Instrument), a CoolSNAP HQ digital camera (Photometrics), and a BNC555 pulse generator (Berkeley Nucleonics) to synchronize camera exposure with focusing movements and illumination. Image acquisition, deconvolution, viewing, and quantification were carried out using custom-written software.

Video 3. Chromosome dynamics in wild-type and ndj14 cells. The chromosome and spindle pole bodies were labeled as described for Video 1. Images were acquired at 1 frame/s at 4 h (wild type) and 7 h ( $n d j 1 \Delta$ ) after transferring cells to sporulation medium. The wild-type cells shown here are the same as those in Video 1. The data corresponding to the ndjld panel are displayed in Fig. S3 (D-I). WT, wild type; TEL, chromosome IVR telomere. Images were taken at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with 250 -ms exposures with a pixel spacing of 0.0645 mm using an upright microscope (Axioplan 2ie) fitted with a 100x, 1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat objective lens (Carl Zeiss), a high-speed switching DG-5 xenon illuminator (Sutter Instrument), a CoolSNAP HQ digital camera (Photometrics), and a BNC555 pulse generator (Berkeley Nucleonics) to synchronize camera exposure with focusing movements and illumination. Image acquisition, deconvolution, viewing, and quantification were carried out using custom-written software.

Video 4. STB plasmid dynamics in wild-type and ndj1 $\mathbf{n}$ cells. Time-lapse images of the STB plasmid foci [LacO 256 -(Lacl-GFP)] were acquired at 1 frame/ 2 s in wild-type cells and at 1 frame $/ \mathrm{s}$ in ndj $1 \Delta$ cells. The analyses were done at 4 h (wild type) and $7 \mathrm{~h}(n d j 1 \Delta)$ after transferring cells to the sporulation medium. The wild-type cells shown here and in Video 2 are identical. The data derived from the ndj1J video are shown in Fig. S3 (A-I). WT, wild type. Images were taken at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with 250 -ms
 exposures with a pixel spacing of $0.0645 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ using an upright microscope (Axioplan 2ie) fitted with a $100 \times$, 1.4 NA PlanApochromat objective lens (Carl Zeiss), a high-speed switching DG-5 xenon illuminator (Sutter Instrument), a CoolSNAP HQ digital camera (Photometrics), and a BNC555 pulse generator (Berkeley Nucleonics) to synchronize camera exposure with focusing movements and illumination. Image acquisition, deconvolution, viewing, and quantification were carried out using custom-written software.


[^0]:    The yeast strains utilized for the present study are listed. The relevant genotypes are indicated. The diploid strains for plasmid segregation, localization, and dynamics assays were constructed anew for each set of assays. The reporter plasmid was introduced into the desired "a" mating type strain by transformation, and the transformant was mated with the " $\alpha$ " mating type partner strain. Strains carrying or lacking endogenous 2 micron plasmid are designated as [cir+] or [cirO], respectively. The list is arranged roughly to conform to the sequence in which the results are presented. An experiment in which a particular strain or a plasmid was utilized is denoted by qualifying it with the appropriate figure number. The relevant references are cited.

